

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/424,629	FOOTE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carla Myers	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Carla Myers.

(2) Xiaochun Zhu.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Kamb and Koster

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amending the claims to recite that the location of the mutation within the target nucleic acid was unknown in order to further distinguish the claimed methods over the method of Kamb which requires the detection of a mutation whose identity and location have been previously characterized. The examiner indicated that a CRF copy of the sequence listing was required, as well as a new paper copy of the sequence listing and a statement that the paper and CRF copies of the sequence listing are the same..